loaded from https://journals

# Automatic Tube Current Modulation and Tube Voltage Selection in Pediatric Computed Tomography A Phantom Study on Radiation Dose and Image Quality

Antonios E. Papadakis, PhD\* and John Damilakis, PhD†

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of a modern automatic tube current modulation (ATCM) and automatic tube voltage selection (ATVS) system on radiation dose and image quality in pediatric head, and torso computed tomography (CT) examinations for various clinical indications.

**Materials and Methods:** Four physical anthropomorphic phantoms that represent the average individual as neonate, 1-year-old, 5-year-old, and 10-year-old child were used. Standard head, thorax, and abdomen/pelvis acquisitions were performed with (1) fixed tube current, (2) ATCM, and (3) ATVS. Acquisitions were performed at various radiation dose levels to generate images at different levels of quality. Reference volume CT dose index (CTDI<sub>vol</sub>), reference image noise, and reference contrast-to-noise ratios were determined. The potential dose reductions with ATCM and ATVS were assessed.

**Results:** The percent reduction of CTDI<sub>vol</sub> with ATCM ranged from 8% to 24% for head, 16% to 39% for thorax, and 25% to 41% for abdomen/pelvis. The percent reduction of CTDI<sub>vol</sub> with ATVS varied on the clinical indication. In CT angiography, ATVS resulted to the highest dose reduction, which was up to 70% for head, 77% for thorax, and 34% for abdomen/pelvis. In noncontrast examinations, ATVS increased dose by up to 21% for head, whereas reduced dose by up to 34% for thorax and 48% for abdomen/pelvis.

**Conclusions:** In pediatric CT, the use of ATCM significantly reduces radiation dose and maintains image noise. The additional use of ATVS reduces further the radiation dose for thorax and abdomen/pelvis, and maintains contrast-to-noise ratio for the specified clinical diagnostic task.

**Key Words:** optimization in CT, pediatric head and body CT, automatic tube current modulation, automatic tube voltage selection, image quality

(Invest Radiol 2018;00: 00-00)

C omputed tomography (CT) imaging at 70 to 100 kVp enables a significant reduction of patient radiation dose and a substantial increase of image contrast compared with standard 120 kVp examination protocols, particularly in CT angiographic studies and in individuals with a small body habitus, such as pediatric patients.<sup>1–7</sup> However, it is well known that low kVp is not widely used in pediatric CT.<sup>8</sup> Scanning

Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution. Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal. ISSN: 0020-9996/18/0000–0000

at a low kVp inherently increases image noise, and to compensate for this increase, the tube current (mA) needs to be adjusted accordingly. To determine the optimal combination between kVp and mA for a specific patient size and diagnostic procedure is a difficult task.<sup>9</sup>

Computed tomography manufacturers have developed automatic exposure control (AEC) systems that enable automatic tube current modulation (ATCM). Automatic exposure control systems tailor the mA on the basis of each patient's body habitus and aim to generate images of diagnostic quality at the minimum possible radiation dose.<sup>5,10–13</sup> Recently, CT vendors have evolved AEC systems by integrating ATCM with automatic tube voltage selection (ATVS) algorithms that allow for automatic selection of kVp and mA settings that deliver images of a specified contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) at different clinical diagnostic tasks. Recent studies performed in adult patients have reported that ATVS systems can substantially reduce radiation dose across most body regions and types of examinations.<sup>14–18</sup> No published data exist on the effect of ATVS on radiation dose and image quality in pediatric CT examinations.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of a modern ATCM- and ATVS-based AEC system on radiation dose and image quality in pediatric head, thorax, and abdomen/pelvis CT examinations at various clinical diagnostic tasks.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Anthropomorphic Phantoms

Four physical anthropomorphic phantoms (ATOM Phantoms; CIRS, Norfolk, VA) that represent the average pediatric individual as neonate, 1-year-old, 5-year-old, and 10-year-old were used (Fig. 1). The phantoms are manufactured by radiologically tissue-equivalent material, and their composition includes artificial skeleton, brain, lung, and soft tissue formulated for accurate simulation of x-ray examinations.

### Automatic Tube Voltage Selection: CT System and Method

Computed tomography acquisitions were performed on a modern 64-detector CT scanner (Revolution GSI; GE Medical Systems, Wisconsin). This scanner is equipped with a state-of-the-art ATVS system (kV Assist, GE Medical Systems). This system constitutes an automatic attenuation-based kVp selection algorithm that provides the lowest radiation dose among 80, 100, 120, and 140 kVp by taking into account the x-ray attenuation of each patient's body and the diagnostic task of the examination. The algorithm operates in combination with the ATCM system (Auto mA, Smart mA, GE Medical Systems), which modulates the mA along z-axis and in x-y plane on the attenuation profiles obtained from patient's anterior-posterior and lateral scout views. The algorithm first determines the anticipated CNR for the anatomy of interest using the exposure parameters prescribed by the reference examination protocol (REP).<sup>19</sup> The algorithm then automatically selects the kVp, mA settings that result to similar CNR at the lowest CTDIvol. Automatic kVp selection is based on 2 operator-defined parameters: (a) the Noise Index (NI) and (b) the clinical mode. The NI is

Received for publication September 14, 2018; and accepted for publication, after revision, October 26, 2018.

From the \*Medical Physics Department, University Hospital of Heraklion; and †Medical Physics Department, University of Crete, Stavrakia, Crete, Greece.

Conflicts of interest and sources of funding: This project has received funding from the Euratom research and training program 2014–2018 under grant agreement No. 755523 (MEDIRAD). The funding source had no role in study design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, nor in the writing or submission of the report. Supplemental digital contents are available for this article. Direct URL citations appear

in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.investigativeradiology.com).

Correspondence to: Antonios E. Papadakis, PhD, Medical Physics Department, University Hospital of Heraklion, Stavrakia, 71110, Crete, Greece. E-mail: apapadak@pagni.gr.

DOI: 10.1097/RLI.000000000000537





**FIGURE 1.** Shown from left to right are the neonate, 1-year-old, 5-year-old, and 10-year-old phantoms. Height and weight values are 51 cm and 3.5 kg for neonate, 75 cm and 10 kg for 1-year-old, 110 cm and 19 kg for 5-year-old, 140 cm and 32 kg for 10-year-old. The skeleton of each phantom is formulated with bone-equivalent materials based on the appropriate bone composition typical of each age.

an mA modulation-related parameter, which allows the operator to determine the amount of noise that will be present in the reconstructed images.<sup>20</sup> The clinical mode allows the operator to define the required image contrast on the anatomy of interest, based on the diagnostic task of the examination. Four different clinical mode options are available, which correspond to different levels of image contrast and different levels dose compared with REP (Table 1).

# **CT Examination Protocols**

Head, thorax, and abdomen/pelvis acquisitions were performed (Fig. 2). Phantoms were accurately aligned with the gantry isocenter, while in the supine position. Each phantom was scanned using protocols A, B, and C. In protocol A, the scanning parameters recommended by the REPs for pediatric patients were used (Table 2).<sup>19</sup> By default, these protocols are performed with ATCM and ATVS deactivated. Moreover, by default, these protocols are designed to generate images using the standard filtered back projection (FBP) algorithm. To

FIGURE 2. The boundaries of the examined anatomical regions on an anterior-posterior scout view of the 10-year-old phantom.

exploit the potential of iterative reconstruction in generating images at a lower noise compared with FBP, the adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction (ASIR) algorithm was activated in all examination protocols. The scanner reported CTDI<sub>vol</sub> value at each REP was then downscaled using the "inverse square route of image noise" relationship (*CTDI<sub>vol</sub>*  $\propto 1/\sqrt{imagenoise}$ ), so that images generated with ASIR and FBP were at the same noise level.

In protocol B, ATCM was activated. To investigate the effect of ATCM on radiation dose and image noise, consecutive acquisitions were performed with the NI ranging from 3 to 8 for head and 9 to 15 for thorax and abdomen/pelvis. In protocol C, ATVS was additionally activated. To investigate the effect of clinical mode on radiation dose and image contrast, acquisitions were performed at all clinical modes. Besides, at each clinical mode, consecutive acquisitions were performed with the NI ranging from 3 to 8 for head and 9 to 15 for thorax and abdomen/pelvis.

Three cylindrical plastic vials (diameter, 10 mm; volume, 5 mL) containing iodine contrast (Iopamiro 370; Bracco Imaging, Italy) diluted with pure water at 2.5, 5, and 10 mg I/mL were prepared. These vials along with one vial containing pure water were accommodated at the posterior surface of each phantom at the level of the eyes for head, heart for thorax, and middle abdomen for abdomen/pelvis (Fig. 3).

# **TABLE 1.** The Four Clinical Mode Options

Clinical Mode	Scan Situation	Region of Primary Importance
CTA: CT Angiography	Iodinated contrast agent is used	Enhanced tissue regions
BONE: Bone, noncontrast	Contrast agents are not used	Bony regions
C+: Soft tissue, contrast-enhanced	Iodinated contrast agent is used	Both enhanced and unenhanced tissue regions
C-: Soft tissue, noncontrast	Contrast agents are not used	Unenhanced tissue regions

"CTA" for CT angiography examinations, "BONE" for examinations that bones are the anatomy of primary diagnostic interest, "C+" for contrast-enhanced examinations that both contrast-enhanced and unenhanced soft tissue anatomies are of diagnostic importance, and "C-" for examinations performed without contrast agents. CT indicates computed tomography.

	Age/Weight, kg	SFOV	Rotation Time, s	Beam Collimation, mm	kVp	mA
Head						
Neonate and 1 y	<18 mo	Pediatric head	0.5	20	120	170
5 y	18 mo to 5 y	Small head	0.5	20	120	440
10 y	5–18 y	Small head	1.0	20	120	260
Thorax						
Neonate	6.0-7.5	Pediatric body	0.4	20	120	120
1 y	9.5-11.5	Small body	0.4	20	120	150
5 y	18.5-22.5	Small body	0.4	20	120	220
10 y	31.5-40.5	Large body	0.4	40	120	240
Abdomen/pelvis						
Neonate	6.0-7.5	Pediatric body	0.4	20	120	150
1 y	9.5-11.5	Pediatric body	0.4	20	120	270
5 y	18.5-22.5	Small body	0.4	20	120	280
10 y	31.5-40.5	Large body	0.4	40	120	340

TABLE 2. Protocol A: Reference Examination Protocols With Acquisition and Reconstruction Parameters for Head, Thorax, and Abdomen/Pelvis Pediatric Routine CT Examinations

All head acquisitions were performed in the axial mode. All thorax and abdomen/pelvis acquisitions were performed in the helical mode at a pitch of 1.375. Reconstructed slice thickness was 2.5 mm. All images were reconstructed using the standard reconstruction filter kernel and the adaptive Statistical Iterative Reconstruction (ASIR) at the 40% level.

SFOV indicates scan field of view.

### Quantitative Image Quality Assessment

Circular regions of interest (ROIs) were manually drawn on uniform brain-equivalent areas for head, and soft tissue-equivalent areas for torso. Regions of interest were also drawn on bone-equivalent areas (Fig. 3). Regions of interest were drawn at the axial level superior to eyes for head, the middle heart level for thorax, and the middle abdomen level for abdomen/pelvis. The mean Hounsfield unit (HU) value obtained from each ROI was recorded. Image noise was measured as the standard deviation (SD) of the mean HU. Mean HU and SD were recorded from acquisitions performed with protocols A, B at all NIs, and C at all clinical modes and NIs. To reduce measurement error, each parameter was measured 3 times on 3 consecutive image slices.

The CNR of iodine (CNR<sub>I</sub>) was calculated as: CNR<sub>I</sub>=(HU<sub>I</sub>-HU<sub>ST</sub>)/SD<sub>STb</sub> where HU<sub>I</sub> is the mean HU measured in 3 iodinated vials, HU<sub>ST</sub> is the mean HU in brain or soft tissue equivalent areas, and SD<sub>ST</sub> is corresponding image noise. The CNR of bone (CNR<sub>B</sub>) was calculated as: CNR<sub>B</sub> = (HU<sub>B</sub> - HU<sub>ST</sub>)/SD<sub>STb</sub> where HU<sub>B</sub> is the mean HU in bone equivalent areas. The CNR of soft tissue (CNR<sub>ST</sub>) was calculated as: CNR<sub>ST</sub> = (HU<sub>ST</sub> - HU<sub>w</sub>)/SD<sub>w</sub>, where HU<sub>w</sub> is the mean HU in the pure water vial, and SD<sub>w</sub> is the corresponding image noise. Quantitative image analysis was performed using the ImageJ image analysis software (1.52d; National Institutes of Health, Maryland).

# Protocol B: The Effect of ATCM on Reference NI, Reference CNR, and Reference Radiation Dose

To investigate the effect of user-defined NI on image noise, NI versus image noise linear fits were generated. The fitting parameters were used to estimate the NI that generates images at a noise equal to images obtained with protocol A. This NI is designated hereafter as ATCM-reference NI ( $M_{Ref}^{ATCM}$ ). CNR<sub>I</sub>, CNR<sub>B</sub>, and CNR<sub>ST</sub> were calculated at all NIs. Polynomial

 $CNR_{I}$ ,  $CNR_{B}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}$  were calculated at all NIs. Polynomial fits of  $CNR_{I}$ ,  $CNR_{B}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}$  versus NI were generated. The fitting parameters were used to estimate the  $CNR_{I}$ ,  $CNR_{B}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}$  values for the  $NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$  determined previously. These values are designated hereafter as  $CNR_{I}^{Ref}$ ,  $CNR_{B}^{Ref}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}^{Ref}$ , respectively.

To calculate the percent radiation dose difference between protocols A and B ( $^{O}D_{AB}$ ), the following equation was applied:

$$\%D_{AB} = \frac{{}^{A}CTDI_{vol} - {}^{B}(CTDI_{vol})_{NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}}}{{}^{A}CTDI_{vol}} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where  ${}^{A}CTDI_{vol}$  is the CTDI<sub>vol</sub> value prescribed by protocol A, and  ${}^{B}(CTDI_{vol})_{NI_{Pot}^{ATCM}}$  is the CTDI<sub>vol</sub> prescribed by protocol B at  $NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$ .



**FIGURE 3.** An axial slice depicting thorax of the 10-year-old phantom. Shown are the ROIs drawn over soft tissue, bone, and iodinated and pure water vials at the axial plane depicting the middle heart level. All but bone ROI<sub>s</sub> were 70 mm<sup>2</sup> in size. Bone ROIs were 20 mm<sup>2</sup> in size. Protocol acquisition parameters for slice shown; clinical mode: CTA, 100 kVp, NI: 11.7, slice thickness 2.5 mm, CTDI<sub>vol</sub> 2.67 mGy.

# Protocol C: The Effect of ATVS on Reference NI and Reference Radiation Dose

CNR<sub>I</sub> versus NI in CTA, CNR<sub>B</sub> versus NI in BONE, CNR<sub>I</sub> versus NI in C+, and CNR<sub>ST</sub> versus NI in C- were calculated at all NIs. Polynomial fits of NI versus CNR<sub>I</sub>, CNR<sub>B</sub>, and CNR<sub>ST</sub> were generated. The fitting parameters were used to estimate the NI for the  $CNR_{I}^{Ref}$ ,  $CNR_{B}^{Ref}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}^{Ref}$  values described previously. These NIs are designated hereafter as ATVS-reference NI for "CTA" ( $NI_{CTA,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ), "Bone" ( $NI_{BONE,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ), "C+" ( $NI_{C+,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ), and "C-" ( $NI_{C-,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ). At each clinical mode, polynomial fits of CTDI<sub>vol</sub> versus NI

At each clinical mode, polynomial fits of  $CTDI_{vol}$  versus NI were generated. The fitting parameters were used to estimate the  $CTDI_{vol}$  at the ATVS-reference NI values described previously.

To calculate the percent dose difference between protocols A and C ( $D_{AC}$ ), the following equation was applied:

$$\%D_{AC} = \frac{{}^{A}CTDI_{vol} - {}^{C}(CTDI_{vol})^{kVp}_{NI^{ATYS}_{i,Ref}}}{{}^{A}CTDI_{vol}} \times 100\%$$
(2)

where  ${}^{C}(CTDI_{vol})NI_{i,Ref}^{ATVS}^{KVp}$  is the CTDI<sub>vol</sub> prescribed by protocol C at the i<sub>th</sub> clinical mode, and kVp,  $NI_{i,Ref}^{ATVS}$  values that generate images of a similar CNR to images with protocol A.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Noise Index was linearly correlated to image noise. Association between CNR and NI and between CTDI<sub>vol</sub> and NI was determined using polynomial fitting. Correlation coefficients and *P* values were used to evaluate goodness of fit. All statistical computations were processed using MedCalc software package (Med-Calc Software, Ostend, Belgium).

### RESULTS

### **Protocol A**

Measured image noise in acquisitions with protocol A ranged across phantoms from 3.93 to 7.08 for head, 6.55 to 10.95 for thorax, and 6.82 to 10.56 for abdomen/pelvis.  ${}^{A}CTDI_{vol}$  ranged from 15.12 mGy to 46.26 mGy for head, 1.89 mGy to 4.53 mGy for thorax, and 3.21 mGy to 5.22 mGy for abdomen/pelvis (Table 3).

### Protocol B

Measured image noise correlated strongly with NI across all phantoms and anatomical regions (Fig. 4, Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419). However, image noise

**TABLE 3.** Measured Mean Image Noise and Corresponding  ${}^{A}CTDI_{vol}$  for Reference Examination Protocol (Protocol A)

Age, y		Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis
Neonate	Image noise	5.20	6.55	6.82
	<sup>A</sup> CTDI <sub>vol</sub>	15.12	4.53	5.22
1	Image noise	7.08	8.45	8.84
	<sup>A</sup> CTDI <sub>vol</sub>	15.12	1.89	3.21
5	Image noise	5.19	7.61	9.77
	<sup>A</sup> CTDI <sub>vol</sub>	39.14	2.86	3.46
10	Image noise	3.93	10.95	10.56
	<sup>A</sup> CTDI <sub>vol</sub>	46.26	3.43	4.66

 ${}^{A}CTDI_{vol}$  values have been downscaled to take Into account that images were reconstructed using the ASIR algorithm. Results are presented for each phantom and anatomical region.



**FIGURE 4.** Graph shows user-selected NI versus measured image noise for thorax of each phantom. The linear fitting parameters between NI and image noise  $(a_T/b_T)$  and correlation coefficient  $(R^2)$  are shown in Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419. These fitting parameters were used to estimate ATCM-reference NI  $(NI_{Ref}^{ATCM})$ for protocol B across all phantoms and anatomical regions, which generate images with similar noise to protocol A.

tended to be lower than NI. This trend was more pronounced for thorax and abdomen. Typically, at NI equal to 15, image noise was 8.98 for thorax and 11.31 for abdomen/pelvis for neonate. Calculated  $NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$  values in protocol B that generate images of similar noise to images in protocol A ranged from 3.86 to 5.81 for head, 10.74 to 13.11 for thorax, and 10.63 to 12.46 for abdomen/pelvis (Table 4).  $^{B}(CTDI_{vol})_{NIRef}$  recorded from acquisitions performed at  $NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$ , ranged from 12.24 mGy to 57.59 for head, 1.58 mGy to 2.76 mGy for thorax, and 1.88 mGy to 3.49 mGy for abdomen/pelvis (Table 4).

 $CNR_{I}$ ,  $CNR_{B}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}$  correlated strongly and decreased with NI (Fig. 5, Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links. lww.com/RLI/A419).  $CNR_{I}^{Ref}$ ,  $CNR_{B}^{Ref}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}^{Ref}$ , estimated using the a<sub>S</sub>, b<sub>S</sub> fitting parameters of Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419, are reported in Table 5.

Percent dose difference ( $\[mm]{}^{\%}D_{AB}$ ) between protocols A and B, across all phantoms and anatomical regions, are reported in Table 6.

**TABLE 4.** Calculated  $NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$  Using the  $a_T/b_T$  Fitting Parameters of Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419, and Corresponding  ${}^{B}(CTDI_{vol})_{NIRef}$  for ATCM-Activated Protocol (Protocol B)

Age, y		Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis
Neonate	$NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$	5.81	11.61	10.63
	$^{B}(CTDI_{vol})NI_{Ref}$	13.90	2.76	3.19
1	NI <sup>ATCM</sup> <sub>Ref</sub>	7.70	11.68	11.11
	$^{B}(CTDI_{vol})NI_{Ref}$	12.24	1.58	1.88
5	NI <sup>ATCM</sup> Ref	5.14	10.74	11.03
	$^{B}(CTDI_{vol})NI_{Ref}$	30.92	2.04	2.59
10	NI <sup>ATCM</sup> Ref	3.86	13.11	12.46
	$^{B}(CTDI_{vol})NI_{Ref}$	57.59	2.14	3.49

Results are presented for each phantom and anatomical region.





**FIGURE 5.** Protocol B: Graphs show CNR<sub>I</sub> versus NI (A), CNR<sub>B</sub> versus NI (B), and CNR<sub>ST</sub> versus NI (C) across phantoms for thorax anatomical region. Association between CNR and Noise Index was determined using polynomial fitting as  $CNR = a_5 \times NI^{b_5}$ .  $\alpha_5/b_5$ ,  $R^2$  fitting parameters for all phantoms and anatomical regions are tabulated in Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419.

**TABLE 5.** Calculated  $CNR_{I}^{Ref}$ ,  $CNR_{B}^{Ref}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}^{Ref}$  Using the  $a_{S}/b_{S}$ Fitting Parameters of Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content, http:// links.lww.com/RLI/A419, for ATCM-Activated Examination Protocol (protocol B)

	С	NR <sub>I</sub> <sup>Ref</sup> /CNR <sub>B</sub> <sup>Ref</sup> /CNR	Ref ST
Age, y	Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis
Neonate	43.4/120.1/6.1	29.4/72.1/3.62	26.9/72.8/5.1
1	40.2/131.8/9.8	19.6/69.4/4.7	17.3/68.5/6.2
5	35.2/190.1/19.4	21.7/96.2/5.5	23.2/98.1/7.1
10	43.9/223/23.3	15.9/70.6/5.1	18.6/41.8/5.9

Results are presented for each phantom and anatomical region.

## Protocol C

User-selected NI in protocol C correlated strongly and decreased with CNR<sub>I</sub>, CNR<sub>B</sub>, and CNR<sub>ST</sub> at all clinical modes, and across all phantoms and anatomical regions (Fig. 6 and Table S3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419). Calculated  $M_{CTA,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ,  $NI_{BONE,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ,  $NI_{C+,Ref}^{ATVS}$ , and  $NI_{C-,Ref}^{ATVS}$  values that generate images of similar contrast to corresponding acquisitions of protocol B, are reported in Table 7. These values were calculated using the  $a_K$ ,  $b_K$ , fitting parameters of Table S3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/ RLI/A419, and the  $CNR_R^{Ref}$ ,  $CNR_B^{Ref}$ , and  $CNR_{ST}^{Ref}$  values of Table 5. The ATVS-recommended kVp at each clinical mode varied on

The ATVS-recommended kVp at each clinical mode varied on the user-selected NI. The ATVS-recommended kVp at the  $NI_{CTA,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ,  $NI_{BONE,Ref}^{ATVS}$ ,  $NI_{C-,Ref}^{ATVS}$ , and  $NI_{C-,Ref}^{ATVS}$  values of Table 7 are reported in Table 8. In neonate, 80 kVp was recommended at all clinical modes and anatomical regions. In 1-year-old, 80 kVp was recommended most often, whereas 100 kVp was recommended in C+ and C- of head and abdomen/pelvis. In 5-year-old, 100 kVp was recommended most often, whereas 120 kVp was recommended in C+ and C- of head. In 10-year-old, 100 kVp was recommended in CTA, BONE, and C+ of thorax and abdomen/pelvis, and 120 kVp was recommended at all clinical modes of head and C- of thorax and abdomen/pelvis.

CTDI<sub>vol</sub> in protocol C correlated strongly and decreased with NI at all clinical modes, and across all phantoms and anatomical regions (Fig. 7 and Table S4, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419). These  $a_M$ ,  $b_M$  fitting parameters were used to calculate the  ${}^{C}(CTDI_{vol})_{M_{TMS}^{HP}}^{HP}$  at the ATVS-reference NI (Table 9). In CTA, calculated  ${}^{C}(CTDI_{vol})_{M_{MOMS}^{HP}}^{HP}$  ranged from 7.86 to 33.54 mGy for head, 0.61 to 1.26 mGy for thorax, and 0.87 to 2.67 mGy for abdomen/pelvis. In BONE, calculated  ${}^{C}(CTDI_{vol})_{M_{MOMS}^{HP}}$  ranged from 11.79 to 52.27 for head, 0.88 to 1.69 mGy for thorax, and 0.69 to 2.21 mGy for abdomen/pelvis. In C+, calculated  ${}^{C}(CTDI_{vol})_{M_{C1+M}^{HP}}$  ranged from 10.66 to 33.07 mGy for head, 0.54 to 1.47 mGy for thorax, and 0.85 to 2.57 mGy for abdomen/pelvis. In C-, calculated  ${}^{C}(CTDI_{vol})_{M_{C1+M}^{HP}}$  ranged from 15.87 to 55.97 mGy for head, 1.57 to 3.71 mGy for thorax, and 1.67 to 3.86 mGy for abdomen/pelvis.

**TABLE 6.** Percent Dose Difference ( $(\%D_{AB})$ ) Between Reference Examination Protocol (Protocol A) and ATCM-Activated Acquisitions (Protocol B) for Each Phantom and Anatomical Region

Age, y		%D <sub>AB</sub>	
	Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis
Neonate	8.0%	39%	39%
1	19%	16%	41%
5	21%	29%	25%
10	24%	38%	25%



**FIGURE 6.** Protocol C: Graph shows user selected NI versus CNR<sub>1</sub> for CTA, CNR<sub>8</sub> for BONE, CNR<sub>1</sub> for C+, and CNR<sub>ST</sub> for C- clinical mode in thorax ATVS-activated acquisitions of the 5-year-old phantom. Association between NI and CNR was determined using polynomial fitting as  $NI = a_K \times CNR^{-b_K}$ .  $\alpha_K/b_K$ ,  $R^2$  fitting parameters for all phantoms, and anatomical regions are tabulated in Table S3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww. com/RLI/A419.

	Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis
		NIATVS CTA Ref /NIATVS RONE Ref /NIATVS C- Ref	
Age, y			
Neonate	8.25/7.17/8.18/7.63	13.09/11.29/12.55/8.98	11.76/9.86/14.60/9.60
1	8.22/8.89/9.87/9.70	13.02/11.65/15.76/10.82	11.88/10.11/13.81/11.20
5	7.22/7.25/7.99/6.83	11.09/10.29/12.26/11.11	11.21/10.53/14.1/10.32
10	8.19/5.19/8.18/6.61	12.94/12.31/14.38/11.85	11.69/18.54/11.11/9.77

TABLE 7. Calculated NI<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>CTA. Ref</sub>, NI<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>BONE. Ref</sub>, NI<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>C+. Ref</sub>, and NI<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>C-. Ref</sub> Values That Generate Images of Similar Contrast to Protocol B

These values were calculated using the  $a_{K}$ ,  $b_{K}$ , fitting parameters of Table S3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419, at  $CNR_{J}^{Ref}$ ,  $CNR_{B}^{Ref}$  values of Table 5.

**TABLE 8.** ATVS-Recommended kVp in ATVS-Activated Acquisitions at Each Clinical Mode and at the *NI*<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>CTA, Ref</sub>, *NI*<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>BONE, Ref</sub>, *NI*<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>C+, Ref</sub>, and *NI*<sup>ATVS</sup><sub>C-, Ref</sub> Values of Table 7 Across All Phantoms and Anatomical Regions

	ATVS-Recommended kVp			
	Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis	
Age, y	CTA/BONE/C+/C-	CTA/BONE/C+/C-	CTA/BONE/C+/C-	
Neonate	80/80/80/80	80/80/80/80	80/80/80/80	
1	80/80/100/100	80/80/80/80	80/80/100/100	
5	100/100/120/120	100/100/100/100	100/100/100/100	
10	120/120/120/120	100/100/100/120	100/100/100/120	



**FIGURE 7.** Protocol C: Graph shows CTDI<sub>vol</sub> versus user-selected Noise Index for CTA, BONE, C+, and C– clinical mode in thorax ATVS-activated acquisitions of the 5-year-old phantom. Association between CTDI<sub>vol</sub> and Noise Index was determined using polynomial fitting as  $NI = a_M \times CNR^{-b_M}$ .  $\alpha_M/b_M$ ,  $R^2$  fitting parameters for all phantoms, and anatomical regions are tabulated in Table S4, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419.

Percent dose difference (% $D_{AC}$ ) between protocols A and C, across all phantoms and anatomical regions, are reported in Table 10.

### DISCUSSION

This work presents data on radiation dose when modern ATCM and ATVS systems are activated in routine head and torso pediatric CT examinations. Our results on activation of ATCM demonstrate a dose reduction of up to 24% for head, 39% for thorax, and 41% for abdomen/ pelvis compared with the REPs. Furthermore, our results on the additional activation of ATVS demonstrate a dose reduction, which varied on the clinical diagnostic task. CTA resulted to the highest dose reduction, which was up to 70% for head, 77% for thorax, and 76% for abdomen/ pelvis compared with the REPs. This is owing to the increased iodine contrast at lower kVp. The increased contrast allows for higher noise levels to be tolerated, which in turn enable a substantial dose reduction. BONE resulted to a dose reduction, which was up to 22% for head, 66% for thorax, and 85% for abdomen/pelvis. C+ resulted to a dose reduction of up to 46% for head, 71% for thorax, and 79% abdomen/pelvis. In contrast-enhanced soft tissue examinations, such as chest or portal venous phase abdominal CT, detection and characterization of tissue lesions require a lower noise level compared with CTA studies. C- resulted to a dose increase of up to 21% for head and a dose reduction of up to 34% for thorax and 48% for abdomen/pelvis. In noncontrast examinations, the tolerated image noise might be even less compared with contrast-enhanced studies. Thus, the kVp and NI settings recommended

by the ATVS system for thorax and abdomen/pelvis result to a lower dose reduction compared with contrast-enhanced studies. It is not known, however, why dose was increased in head acquisitions. Possible explanation might be that increased modulated mA values are required in that clinical mode to counterbalance the low inherent  $CNR_{ST}^{Ref}$  in the brain. This merits further clinical investigation into whether ATVS might be applicable to pediatric noncontrast head CT examinations.

Several studies have demonstrated the advantages of using ATVS in body CT examinations of adult patients. Spearman et al<sup>1</sup> on a multicenter study have shown that ATVS reduces radiation exposure by up to 56% in temporal bone CT and that dose reduction is profound in CT angiographic studies. Layritz et al<sup>17</sup> have shown that the use of ATVS in coronary CTA reduces radiation dose by 39%, and Lee et al<sup>21</sup> have reported that the use of ATCM and ATVS in contrastenhanced liver CT of adults reduce radiation dose by up to 31%. In a study performed by Siegel et al<sup>22</sup> on the effect of ATVS on radiation dose in pediatric contrast-enhanced thoracoabdominal CT, a dose reduction of 27% has been reported. Furthermore, Siegel et al<sup>23</sup> have used 3 small-sized semianthropomorphic phantoms to investigate the effect of ATVS on radiation dose in pediatric abdominal CTA examinations. Radiation dose was reduced by 31%, 36%, and 44% for the small, medium, and large phantoms, respectively. The above studies have been reporting results using an ATVS system available from a single only vendor (Siemens Healthcare). To our knowledge, scarce data are available on the use of ATVS used by other CT vendors. Li et al<sup>14</sup> have recently shown that ATVS in contrast-enhanced adult chest CT examinations results to a dose reduction of 31% without affecting image quality. Another important approach to reduce pediatric radiation dose is tin filtering. Weis et al<sup>24</sup> have suggested that the use of additional tin filtering at 100 kVp significantly reduces radiation dose compared with 70 kVp and therefore should be preferred in non-contrast-enhanced pediatric chest CT, particularly when the main focus is evaluation of lung parenchyma.

A major contribution of this work is that we have determined the  $NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$  values in ATCM-activated acquisitions that produce images of comparable noise to the images derived from the REPs (Table 4). To our knowledge, there is no published data on the effect of ATCM, which is based on the NI concept, on radiation dose, and image quality in pediatric CT. In NI-based ATCM, a higher NI will generate images of more noise, and CT acquisition will be performed at a lower mA, whereas a lower NI will generate images of less noise, and CT acquisition will be performed at a higher mA compared with the REP. Computed tomography operators may use the  $NI_{Ref}^{ATCM}$  values of Table 4 to generate images at a comparable noise and at a substantially reduced dose compared with the corresponding REP (Table 6).

One further major contribution of this work is that we have determined the  $NI_{i,Ref}^{ATVS}$  in ATVS-activated acquisitions that produce images of comparable CNR to the images derived from the REPs (Table 7).  $NI_{i,Ref}^{ATVS}$  values are proposed for each clinical imaging diagnostic task. When CT operators are asked to activate ATVS for a specific diagnostic task, they should input a $NI_{i,Ref}^{ATVS}$  value. To our knowledge, there is no published data on what NI values should operators use in ATVS-activated

**TABLE 9.** Calculated  $^{C}(CTDI_{vol})_{NI_{kef}^{ATVS}}^{kVp}$  at  $NI_{CTA, Ref}^{ATVS}$ ,  $NI_{BONE, Ref}^{ATVS}$ , and  $NI_{C-, Ref}^{ATVS}$  Values of Table 5 and  $a_{M}$ ,  $b_{M}$  Fitting Parameters of Table S4, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/RLI/A419

	Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis
Age, y	$^{C}(CTDI_{vol})_{NI^{CTA,kl}}$	$\mathcal{L}_{Vp} / \mathcal{C} (CTDI_{vol})_{NI^{BONE,kVp}} / \mathcal{C} (CTDI_{vol})_{NI^{C+,kVp}} / \mathcal{C} (CTDI_{vol})_{NI^{C$	$DI_{vol})_{NI^{C}-,kVp}$
Neonate	7.86/11.79/10.66/15.87	1.02/1.55/1.47/3.71	1.24/2.01/1.07/3.19
1	13.24/12.94/13.01/16.53	0.61/0.88/0.54/1.57	0.87/1.37/0.85/1.67
5	33.54/33.58/33.07/43.80	1.24/1.68/1.25/1.87	1.69/2.21/1.19/2.97
10	13.54/52.27/24.99/55.97	1.26/1.69/1.30/2.53	2.67/0.69/2.57/3.86

Age, y		%D <sub>AC</sub>	
	Head	Thorax	Abdomen/Pelvis
	CTA/BONE/C+/C-	CTA/BONE/C+/C-	CTA/BONE/C+/C-
Neonate	48%/22%/29%/-5%	77%/66%/67%/16%	76%/61%/79%/39%
1	12%/14%/14%/-9%	68%/53%/71%/17%	73%/57%/73%/48%
5	14%/14%/16%/-12%	57%/41%/56%/34%	51%/36%/65%/14%
10	70%/13%/46%/-21%	63%/51%62%/26%	43%/85%/45%/17%

**TABLE 10.** Percent Dose Difference (%D<sub>AC</sub>) Between Reference Examination Protocol (Protocol A) and ATVS-Activated Acquisitions (Protocol C) for Each Phantom and Anatomical Region

acquisitions of pediatric CT. Moreover, there is no published data on the effect of  $NI_{i,Ref}^{ATV5}$  on radiation dose and image quality for different clinical diagnostic tasks. Computed tomography operators may use the  $NI_{i,Ref}^{ATV5}$  (Table 7) to generate images at a comparable CNR and at substantially reduced dose compared with the REP (Table 10).

One limitation of this study was that we did not verify our results in the clinical practice. However, it is not feasible to perform repetitive exposures to the same patient because of ethical issues. Moreover, a large number of patients are required to cover ages from newborns to adolescents to make interindividual comparisons. The physical anthropomorphic phantoms used herein facilitate the investigation of the effect of acquisition parameters on image quality and radiation dose in the same subject without considering ethical issues. Moreover, image quality was assessed only on the basis of objective quality measures. A subjective evaluation of the image quality from experienced radiologists would add useful input on the verification of the proposed NIATC and  $NI_{i,Ref}^{ATVS}$  values. Scanning at 70 kVp has also been shown to reduce pediatric radiation dose compared with 80 kVp.<sup>25</sup> However, the technology of the scanner used herein did not allow acquisition at this tube potential. The results presented herein refer to a single vendor and 4 clinical diagnostic tasks. It would be very interesting to apply the methodology presented in this study on scanners developed by other CT manufacturers and on more clinical diagnostic settings.

In conclusion, we have shown that the use of ATCM in pediatric head and torso CT reduces radiation dose without impairing image noise. The use of ATVS for a specified clinical diagnostic task reduces further radiation dose without impairing CNR. We suggest that ATCM should be activated in all pediatric examinations. Moreover, ATVS should be activated in all but head noncontrast examinations. The current results highlight the importance of using the ATCM and ATVS tools in the clinical routine for dose optimization in pediatric CT.

#### REFERENCES

- Schindera ST, Nelson RC, Mukundan S Jr., et al. Hypervascular liver tumors: low tube voltage, high tube current multi-detector row CT for enhanced detectionphantom study. *Radiology*. 2008;246:125–132.
- Siegel MJ, Schmidt B, Bradley D, et al. Radiation dose and image quality in pediatric CT: effect of technical factors and phantom size and shape. *Radiology*. 2004; 233:515–522.
- Yu L, Bruesewitz MR, Thomas KB, et al. Optimal tube potential for radiation dose reduction in pediatric CT: principles, clinical implementations, and pitfalls. *Radiographics*. 2011;31:835–848.
- Funama Y, Awai K, Nakayama Y, et al. Radiation dose reduction without degradation of low-contrast detectability at abdominal multisection CT with a low-tube voltage technique: phantom study. *Radiology*. 2005;237:905–910.
- Papadakis AE, Perisinakis K, Raissaki M, et al. Effect of x-ray tube parameters and iodine concentration on image quality and radiation dose in cerebral pediatric and adult CT angiography: a phantom study. *Invest Radiol.* 2013;48:192–199.
- Kim H, Goo JM, Kang CK, et al. Comparison of iodine density measurement among dual-energy computed tomography scanners from 3 vendors. *Invest Radiol.* 2018;53:321–327.

- Faggioni L, Gabelloni M. Iodine concentration and optimization in computed tomography angiography: current issues. *Invest Radiol.* 2016;51:816–822.
- Arch ME, Frush DP. Pediatric body MDCT: a 5-year follow-up survey of scanning parameters used by pediatric radiologists. *Am J Roentgenol.* 2008;191:611–617.
- Yu L, Li H, Fletcher JG, et al. Automatic selection of tube potential for radiation dose reduction in CT: a general strategy. *Med Phys.* 2010;37:234–243.
- Kalra MK, Maher MM, Toth TL, et al. Comparison of Z-axis automatic tube current modulation technique with fixed tube current CT scanning of abdomen and pelvis. *Radiology*. 2004;232:347–353.
- Papadakis AE, Perisinakis K, Oikonomou I, et al. Automatic exposure control in pediatric and adult computed tomography examinations can we estimate organ and effective dose from mean mAs reduction? *Invest Radiol.* 2011;46: 654–662.
- van Straten M, Deak P, Shrimpton PC, et al. The effect of angular and longitudinal tube current modulations on the estimation of organ and effective doses in x-ray computed tomography. *Med Phys.* 2009;36:4881–4889.
- Papadakis AE, Perisinakis K, Damilakis J. Automatic exposure control in CT: the effect of patient size, anatomical region and prescribed modulation strength on tube current and image quality. *Eur Radiol.* 2014;24:2520–2531.
- Li M, Feng S, Wu N, et al. Scout-based automated tube potential selection technique (kV Assist) in enhanced chest computed tomography: effects on radiation exposure and image quality. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2017;41:442–445.
- Spearman J, Schoepf U, Rottenkolber M, et al. Effect of automated attenuationbased tube voltage selection on radiation dose at CT: an observational study on a global scale. *Radiology*. 2016;279:167–174.
- Winklehner A, Goetti R, Baumueller S, et al. Automated attenuation-based tube potential selection for thoracoabdominal computed tomography angiography: improved dose effectiveness. *Invest Radiol.* 2011;46:767–773.
- Layritz C, Muschiol G, Flohr T, et al. Automated attenuation-based selection of tube voltage and tube current for coronary CT angiography: reduction of radiation exposure versus a BMI-based strategy with an expert investigator. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* 2013;7:303v310.
- Gonzalez-Guindalini FD, Ferreira Botelho MP, Töre HG, et al. MDCT of chest, abdomen, and pelvis using attenuation-based automated tube voltage selection in combination with iterative reconstruction: an intrapatient study of radiation dose and image quality. *Am J Roentgenol.* 2013;201:1075–1082.
- 19. Reference protocol guide 5507116-1EN. Revision: 1. In: GE Healthcare. 2014.
- 20. Technical reference manual, 5507106-1, General Electric Company. 2014.
- Lee KH, Lee JM, Moon SK, et al. Attenuation-based automatic tube voltage selection and tube current modulation for dose reduction at contrast-enhanced liver CT. *Radiology*. 2012;265:437–447.
- Siegel MJ, Hildebolt C, Bradley D. Effects of automated kilovoltage selection technology on contrast-enhanced pediatric CT and CT angiography. *Radiology*. 2013;268:538–547.
- Siegel MJ, Ramirez-Giraldo JC, Hildebolt C, et al. Automated low-kilovoltage selection in pediatric computed tomography angiography: phantom study evaluating effects on radiation dose and image quality. *Invest Radiol.* 2013;48:584–589.
- 24. Weis M, Henzler T, Nance JW Jr., et al. Radiation dose comparison between 70 kVp and 100 kVp with spectral beam shaping for non-contrast-enhanced pediatric chest computed tomography: a prospective randomized controlled study. *Invest Radiol.* 2017;52:155–162.
- Hagelstein C, Henzler T, Haubenreisser H, et al. Ultra-high pitch chest computed tomography at 70 kVp tube voltage in an anthropomorphic pediatric phantom and non-sedated pediatric patients: initial experience with 3rd generation dual-source CT. Z Med Phys. 2016;26:349–361.